

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/467,986	12/21/1999	AKIHIKO NAKAZAWA	35.C14120	3093
5514	7590 06/19/2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
	OCKEFELLER PLAZA YORK, NY 10112		FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	17
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	, 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

lacksquare	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/467,986	NAKAZAWA ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lawrence D Ferguson				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD F	OR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailib The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expirately ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	f this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth it let later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date. It was FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFR of extension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply originally set.	te of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under t in the final Office action: or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 June 200</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof	(37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismiss	ithin the period set forth in al of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the appli issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal by n	naterially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	would be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ req application in condition for allowance beca	uest for reconsideration has been couse: for reasons of record.	onsidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be conside raised by the Examiner in the final rejection	red because it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amer explanation of how the new or amended cla	ndment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered o aims would be rejected is provided b	r b)∏ will be entered and an selow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as f	ollows:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,8-13 and 30</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-	<u>29</u> .				
B.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	CYNTHIA H KELLY			
•		SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMINED			
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700			
Patent and Trademark Office		Lys Let Vely			
O-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advisory Action	Part of Paner No. 12			

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 12

C ntinuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/467,986

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants include the features of claims 28 and 29 in claims 1 and 30. Applicant includes breaking extension and tensile breaking strength features from non-elected process claims into the product claim that were not previously considered, which results in further consideration of the case.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700